

To Suppress or Not to Suppress...that is the question

RI BRFSS

2017 Northeast Epidemiology Conference October 19, 2017

Objectives



- 1) Present an overview of the implementation of RIDOH policy for dealing with small numbers using two examples from RI BRFSS
 - Identifying "small numbers"
 - Employing strategies to avoid suppression
- 2) Discuss common practices on data collection, analysis and dissemination related to small numbers and data reliability.



Public health policy decisions are informed by statistical information.

- Small numbers are associated with estimates of lower statistical credibility and tend to be unstable and prone to misinterpretation, which could mislead policy decisions.
- Small numbers may facilitate identification of individuals.

As epidemiologists, we make decisions whether data can be reported without reservation, with caution, or suppressed entirely.

Rules for suppression, Reporting of BRFSS results



- Numerator less than 10?
- Denominator less than 50?
- Confidence interval more than 20 points wide?
- Use of half width confidence intervals?
- Relative standard error (RSE ≥30%)
 RSE=<u>Standard error of estimate</u> * 100

Estimate

- Unreliable estimates, caution note?
- SUPPRESSION as last resort



RIDOH Epidemiologists and Evaluators developed a small numbers policy in 2015

- Confidence intervals (CIs) do not represent a reliable standard when numerators, denominators, or both are small.
- Recommends Relative Standard Error (RSE) as the gold standard for determining whether data be suppressed.
- Criteria
 - Estimates with RSE ≥30% are suppressed
 - If RSE is 20 <30%, estimate is unstable and needs to be interpreted with caution



Example 1

Collapsing strata

Initial Output



Numerators < 20!					
			Gay/Lesbiar	/Bisexual/	
	Stra	ight	Oth	er	
	(n=4,597	, 94.7%)	(n=219; 5.3%)		
	Ν	%	N	%	
Sex					
Male	1,874	48.0	101	46.0	
Female	2,723	52.0	118	54.0	
Age Group					
18-29 yrs	313	18.2	41	38.7	
30-44 yrs	677	24.2	37	23.9	
45-64 yrs	1,945	35.3	98	28.5	
65+ yrs	1,587	22.3	43	8.9	
Race/ethnicity					
White only, Non-Hispanic	3,888	77.9	165	67.1	
Black only, Non-Hispanic	152	4.8	8	4.1	
Other race/Multiracial, Non-Hispanic	205	6.2	12	9.0	
Hispanic	292	11.0	31	19.7	

Calculating RSEs



Goal is not to suppress, so we will collapse race/ethnicity categories.

	Straight (n=4,597, 94.7%)			Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual/Other (n=219; 5.3%)		
	Ν	%	RSE%	Ν	%	RSE%
Sex						
Male	1,874	48.0	1.83	101	46.0	9.94
Female	2,723	52.0	1.21	118	54.0	9.18
Age Group						
18-29 yrs	313	18.2	5.52	41	38.7	15.55
30-44 yrs	677	24.2	3.56	37	23.9	16.38
45-64 yrs	1,945	35.3	1.74	98	28.5	10.00
65+ yrs	1,587	22.3	2.05	43	8.9	15.18
Race/ethnicity						
White only, Non-Hispanic	3,888	77.9	0.69	165	67.1	7.65
Black only, Non-Hispanic	152	4.8	7.98	8	4.1	35.33
Other race/Multiracial,Non-Hispanic	205	6.2	6.83	12	9.0	28.83
Hispanic	292	11.0	5.67	31	19.7	17.90

Sexual Orientation and Other Demographics, 2016

Collapsing strata and calculating RSEs



RSE 20-30% Add caution note

Sexual Orientation and Other Demographics, 2016 - Race/Ethnicity Strata Collapsed

	Straight (n=4,597, 94.7%)			Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual/Other (n=219; 5.3%)		
	Ν	%	RSE%	Ν	%	RSE%
Race/ethnicity						
White only, Non-Hispanic	3,888	77.9	0.69	165	67.1	7.65
Other race/Multiracial,Non-Hispanic	357	11.0	5.10	20	13.2	22.3
Hispanic	292	11.0	5.67	31	19.7	17.90



Straight Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual/Other (n=4,597, 94.7%) (n=219; 5.3%) 95% CI Ν % Ν % 95% CI Sex [45.9-50.1] 46.0 [36.2-55.8] Male 1,874 48.0 101 [49.9-54.1] Female 2,723 52.0 118 54.0 [44.2-63.8] Age Group 18.2 [16.0-20.4] 38.7 18-29 yrs 313 41 [28.4-49.0] 30-44 yrs 677 24.2 [22.2-26.2] 37 23.9 [15.1-32.7] 45-64 yrs 35.3 [33.4-37.1] 98 28.5 [21.2-35.7] 1,945 22.3 [20.9-23.6] [5.4-12.5] 65+ yrs 1,587 43 8.9 **Race/ethnicity** White only, Non-Hispanic [76.0-79.9] 3.888 77.9 165 67.1 [57.6-76.6] Other race/Multiracial, Non-Hispanic 357 11.0 [9.5-12.5] 20 13.2* [5.6-20.7] Hispanic 292 11.0 [9.5-12.6] 31 19.7 [12.1-27.3]

Sexual Orientation and Other Demographics, 2016

Source: 2016 RI BRFSS

Note: * RSE>20%; Estimate should be interpreted with caution.



Example 2

Aggregating multiple years of data

Initial Output



Numerators < 20!

Now what?

Calculate RSEs

No Mycardial Infarction Mycardial Infarction N=5116; 95.5% N=314; 4.5% Ν % 95% CI Ν % 95% CI Sex Male [92.7-95.1] 6.1 [4.9-7.3] 2,048 9.9 176 Female [96.4-97.7] 3.0 [2.3-3.6] 3,068 97.0 138 Age Group 18-44 yrs 1,231 98.8 [98.0-99.6] 14 1.2 [0.4-2.0] 45-64 yrs 2,154 [93.7-96.1] 113 5.1 [3.9-6.3] 94.9 [87.8-91.3] 65+ yrs 1,632 89.6 181 10.4 [8.7-12.2] **Race/ethnicity** White only, Non-Hispanic 4,227 95.5 [94.7-96.2] 256 4.5 [3.8-5.3] Black only, Non-Hispanic 175 97.2 [95.1-99.2] 10 2.8 [0.7-4.9] Other race/Multiracial, Non-Hispanic 235 95.3 [92.1-98.5] 15 4.7 [1.5-7.9] Hispanic [93.4-98.0] 392 95.7 24 4.3 [2.0-6.6]

Myocardial Infarction Demographics, 2016

Calculating RSEs



Goal is not to suppress, so we will aggregate data with 2015 to achieve a more stable estimate for subgroups.

	No Mycardial Infarction N=5116; 95.5%			Mycardial Infarction N=314; 4.5%		
	Ν	%	RSE%	Ν	%	RSE%
Sex						
Male	2,048	9.9	1.74	176	6.1	7.41
Female	3,068	97.0	1.19	138	3.0	8.40
Age Group						
18-44 yrs	1,231	98.8	2.50	14	1.2	26.69
45-64 yrs	2,154	94.9	1.66	113	5.1	9.31
65+ yrs	1,632	89.6	2.06	181	10.4	7.31
Race/ethnicity						
White only, Non-Hispanic	4,227	95.5	0.70	256	4.5	6.10
Black only, Non-Hispanic	175	97.2	7.43	10	2.8	31.59
Other race/Multiracial,Non-Hispanic	235	95.3	6.38	15	4.7	25.78
Hispanic	392	95.7	4.86	24	4.3	20.37

Myocardial Infarction Demographics, 2016

Aggregating two years of data and calculating RSEs



Check RSEs,

<20%

Myocardial Infarction Demographics, 2015 and 2016 combined

	No Mycardial Infarction			Mycardial Infarction		
	N=10,924; 95.8%			N=670; 4.2%		
	Ν	%	RSE%	Ν	%	RSE%
Sex						
Male	4,360	94.0	1.20	397	6.0	4.93
Female	6,564	97.4	0.81	273	2.6	5.98
Age Group						
18-44 yrs	2,574	99.1	1.73	25	0.9	19.98
45-64 yrs	4,491	95.3	1.16	221	4.7	6.66
65+ yrs	3,678	89.4	1.36	415	10.6	4.82
Race/ethnicity						
White only, Non-Hispanic	9,051	95.6	0.48	556	4.4	4.14
Black only, Non-Hispanic	379	97.1	5.05	25	2.9	19.98
Other race/Multiracial,Non-Hispanic	505	96.7	4.35	29	3.3	18.55
Hispanic	793	95.9	3.43	41	4.1	15.59

Source: 2015-2016 RI BRFSS

Final display of data



	No Mycardial Infarction N=10,924; 95.8%			Mycardial Infarction N=670; 4.2%		
	Ν	%	95% CI	Ν	%	95% CI
Sex						
Male	4,360	94.0	[93.2-94.8]	397	6.0	[5.2-6.8]
Female	6,564	97.4	[96.9-97.8]	273	2.6	[2.2-3.1]
Age Group						
18-44 yrs	2,574	99.1	[98.6-99.6]	25	0.9	[0.4-1.4]
45-64 yrs	4,491	95.3	[94.5-96.1]	221	4.7	[3.9-5.5]
65+ yrs	3,678	89.4	[88.2-90.6]	415	10.6	[9.4-11.8]
Race/ethnicity						
White only, Non-Hispanic	9,051	95.6	[95.1-96.1]	556	4.4	[3.9-4.9]
Black only, Non-Hispanic	379	97.1	[95.7-98.5]	25	2.9	[1.5-4.3]
Other race/Multiracial,Non-Hispanic	505	96.7	[95.0-98.5]	29	3.3	[1.5-5.0]
Hispanic	793	95.9	[94.1-97.6]	41	4.1	[2.4-5.9]

Myocardial Infarction Demographics, 2015 and 2016 combined

Source: 2015-2016 RI BRFSS

Input from audience regarding issues related to small numbers



- Best way to calculate RSE?
 - SAS macro available?
- Policy for adding new BRFSS items when behavior/condition has low prevalence
 - Committing to multiple consecutive years of collection?
- How to address high item non-response?
 - Placement of SAQs and drop-off
- Raw data file provided to partners?
 - Technical assistance to avoid misuse of data

Acknowledgments

Alvaro Tinajero, MD MPH ScM alvaro.tinajero@health.ri.gov RIDOH Statistical Reliability and Confidentiality Work Group



Tara Cooper MPH RI BRFSS/YRBS Coordinator 401-222-7628 tara.cooper@health.ri.gov Tracy Jackson PhD Sr. Public Health Epidemiologist 401-222-7465 tracy.jackson@health.ri.gov